

The Journal of **Common Sense Science**

FOUNDATIONS OF SCIENCE

November 2018
Volume 21
Number 4

The Circumstantial Evidence for What Caused the Regression of Modern Science

Charles William (Bill) Lucas, Jr.

29045 Livingston Drive
Mechanicsville, MD 20659
Bill.Lucas001@gmail.com

“Something deeply hidden had to be behind things”

Albert Einstein autobiographical handwritten note[1]

Abstract. The reduction of the role of logic in modern science has led to the false or incomplete theories of electrodynamics, gravitation, strong interaction, weak interaction, special and general relativity, quantum mechanics, the chemical atom, the atomic nucleus, the standard model of elementary particles, the Big Bang Theory of the origin of the universe, the abiogenesis origin of life, the age of the earth of 4.5 billion years, and the plate tectonic theory of a non-expanding earth. This is known as the regression of modern science. The solution to the problem is to properly combine the axiomatic (logical) and empirical (experimental) scientific methods in such a manner that the full potential of logic, experiment and mathematics is used to discover truth.[2]

Introduction. In this paper the history of the 1st and 2nd Great Spiritual Awakening will be reviewed and its impact on American society described. Then the regressive theories of modern science that were developed toward the end of the 2nd Great Awakening will be reviewed. The changes in the scientific method to reduce the role of logic and avoid truth will be discussed. Finally the conclusions of the false regressive theories of modern science will be shown to be consistently opposed to the message of the Bible. When the proper role of logic is put back into the scientific method, a simple universal electrodynamic force is found to replace all of the regressive theories

and to be 100% compatible with the Bible. This circumstantial evidence leads to the conclusion that Einstein’s “something deeply hidden that was behind the regressive theories of modern science” caused a modification of the scientific method to combat the 1st and 2nd Great Spiritual Awakening and a possible 3rd Great Spiritual Awakening in the future.

The 1st Great Spiritual Awakening.[3] The 1st Great Awakening transformed religious life and theology in the American colonies during the mid-18th century by means of revivals. Proponents of the revivals believed that religion had become a cold, intellectual assent to truth rather than a vital relationship with the person of Christ.

The 1st Great Awakening was not universally acclaimed, but Congregationalist pastor Jonathan Edwards defended the revivals as God's "own peculiar and immediate work." George Whitfield, from Great Britain, was the most prominent figure of the First Great Awakening. His preaching tours of the colonies drew massive open-air crowds.

Older Protestant denominations faced competition from newer evangelical denominations like Baptists, Methodists and Presbyterians. The upstart groups remained a minority in most of the colonies, but their

(Continued on page 3)

FOUNDATIONS OF SCIENCE

© 2018, Common Sense Science, Inc.

Published 4 times each year by
Common Sense Science, Inc.,
Charles William (Bill) Lucas Jr, Editor,
Glen C. Collins, Assistant Editor.

Internet: www.CommonSenseScience.net
Email: Bill.Lucas001@gmail.com
Telephone: 1-240-249-5589

Subscription Rates (four issues)

Science Partner Subscription: US \$100
Regular Subscription by mail: US \$25
Senior Subscription by mail: US \$15
Regular Subscription by email: US \$15
Student Subscription by email: US \$10
Free subscription by email: Free for 1 year

Send request for subscription or address change to:

Common Sense Science, Inc.
29045 Livingston Drive
Mechanicsville, Maryland 29045-3271 USA
or Bill.Lucas001@gmail.com

DONATIONS: Common Sense Science is a non-profit organization incorporated by the State of Georgia and recognized by the IRS as a tax-exempt 501(c) (3) organization. Gifts to Common Sense Science are tax deductible.

SENDING FUNDS: You may mail a check (payable in U. S. dollars) to Common Sense Science, or you may use a credit card to transmit funds by PayPal at the CSS website.

CORRESPONDENCE: Send editorial correspondence to Common Sense Science at address above. **FOUNDATIONS OF SCIENCE** is *not* a journal to review & publish just anyone's scientific papers.


DIRECTORS of Common Sense Science:
Charles W. (Bill) Lucas, Jr., President, Treasurer
David L. Bergman - Director
Glen C. Collins, Director

FOUNDATIONS OF SCIENCE

Mailed This Issue:
Within US – 145
Outside US – 21
Email – 15

Back Issues are Available

Back Issues of **FOUNDATIONS OF SCIENCE** are available to the general public online at www.commonssensescience.net



Letters and E-Mail Correspondence Special Notices

This edition of the newsletter contains a very significant article that attempts to explain the reason for the regression of modern science in the last 150 years using circumstantial evidence. According to Einstein, the real reason is deeply hidden. It is necessary to know the real reason, if one wants to overcome the forces causing the regression of science and to correct the scientific method to employ proper logic in order to make progress in discovering truth through science.

Amazon Smile

Amazon has just rolled out a new initiative at www.SmileAmazon.com, which allows the e-commerce titan to automatically donate 0.5 percent of all eligible purchases to a U.S. charity of the buyer's choosing. Please choose **Common Sense Science Inc.** (Naturally, you can change your mind at any time.) There's no upper limit to the amount Amazon will give away and there is no extra charge to the buyer to cause the donation to be given.

The high-level message is clear: Amazon.com wants people to know it cares about people and communities. There is an underlying message that seems just as apparent: *they will keep giving as long as you keep buying.*

The Circumstantial Evidence for What Caused the Regression of Modern Science

(Continued from page 1)

increasing size and influence began to significantly affect the colonies. In 1776 North Carolina disestablished its state from the Anglican church. In 1786 Virginia disestablished its state from the Anglican church under the influence of Thomas Jefferson and James Madison as evangelical religious dissenters tired of unequal treatment at the hands of local and state authorities.

Although Thomas Jefferson often receives the bulk of the credit for disestablishment for his 1786 "The Virginia Statute for Religious Freedom," the struggle began in 1776 with James Madison and the Virginia Declaration of Rights. Evangelical preachers, like Isaac Backus and Samuel Davies, had long complained about the establishment of the Anglican church in Virginia. The first realistic opportunity for reform came as the Revolutionary War gathered steam and the Virginia General Assembly authorized George Mason to draft a new constitution. James Madison, then an inexperienced state legislator, offered an amendment to Mason's constitution, changing Mason's mild defense of religious toleration into a more robust call for the "free exercise of religion," the first use of that well-known phrase.

During the Revolutionary War the Virginia legislature stopped collecting parish taxes and eased other regulations in order to encourage wartime unity. When the war ended, however, popular governor Patrick Henry proposed a bill that would have resumed the collection of parish taxes. Tensions between religious dissenters, especially upstart evangelical groups like the Presbyterians and the Baptists, and the Anglican-dominated planter elites peaked. There was an outpouring of petitions and pamphlets protesting the bill from local evangelical congregations, pastors, and fledgling organizations like the Baptist General Committee, headed by Baptist pastor John Leland. In 1785, the parish tax bill was narrowly defeated and establishment of a state church was all but legally dead. By early 1786, Virginia legislators saw the turning tide

and overwhelmingly approved Jefferson's formal guarantee of religious freedom.

The alliance of secular intellectuals like Jefferson and Madison with evangelical dissenters like John Leland continued to bear fruit. Leland played an important role in the election of Madison to the constitutional ratification convention of 1788. Madison was then the primary influence behind the adoption of a Bill of Rights to the U.S. Constitution, in which he borrowed from his earlier work in Virginia to codify the "free exercise" of religion in the first amendment. Leland and many other evangelicals also supported Thomas Jefferson in the contentious presidential election of 1800, giving context to Jefferson's guarantee to the Danbury Baptist Association that he would build a "wall of separation" between church and state.

Although Virginia was not the first state to disestablish religion, it marked the turning point in American disestablishment because of the state's massive population and the political influence of its planter-politicians on the formation of the new nation. In 1833, the final state church establishment crumbled when Massachusetts disestablished Congregationalism. The influence of Virginia's disestablishment did not end then, however. State battles over disestablishment, and Virginia's experience in particular, were the ultimate basis of the U.S. Supreme Court's landmark ruling in **Everson v. Board of Education of the Township of Ewing** (1947) [4], which declared state-level subsidies for religious groups or schools unconstitutional.

It appears that atheists and those people that were reacting negatively to the rising influence of the evangelical churches banded together to support the concept of a "wall of separation" between church and state in such a way that they succeeded in getting the wall of separation extended to include public education under state government supported schools and universities.

The 2nd Great Spiritual Awakening.[5] The Cane Ridge camp meeting was the largest and most famous religious revival of the 2nd Great Awakening. Barton Stone, a newly ordained Presbyterian minister who organized the meeting, was inspired by the other camp meetings he had attended in Kentucky, such as the Logan County camp meeting in the spring of 1801.

After experiencing the intensity of the spiritual worship there, he prepared a similar meeting on August 6, 1801, at Cane Ridge, in Bourbon County, Kentucky. He invited not only Presbyterian ministers, but also Baptist and Methodist preachers. A large crowd estimated at twenty thousand arrived to listen to sermons of redemption and to participate in the engulfing religious fervor. Cane Ridge was a town of only two thousand people.

The preachers and attendees called this camp meeting the greatest outpouring of the Holy Spirit since Pentecost. A simultaneous revival occurred at Yale College in Connecticut where a third of the student body underwent conversion experiences. Camp meetings became very popular in the United States and caused the Baptist, Methodist, and Presbyterian churches to become the largest in America. The 2nd Great Spiritual Awakening was also the time that the Seventh Day Adventist Church and the Mormon Church were founded among many others.

The Great Awakenng had important long-lasting results in America: (1) thousands of sinners were converted and countless believers revived, (2) a new missionary spirit was kindled, (3) new colleges were founded, and (4) the people’s hearts were prepared for religious and political freedom. This widespread spiritual revival swept through the colonies giving rise to the desire for independence and freedom from foreign rule. It eventually led to a desire to abolish slavery, grant the right to vote to all men and women, and to give women equal rights as men.

Review of the Changes in the Scientific Method to Reduce the Role of Logic and Avoid Truth.[6] These activities and the thought of a possible 3rd Great Spiritual Awakening in the future caused a reaction to begin by people that were eventually labelled as atheists and social liberals supporting men having multiple wives, same sex marriage and abortion. They decided to use the schools to indoctrinate the children of evangelical church parents that Christianity and religion were based upon historical myth and were disproved by science which was more authoritative. Due to the “Wall of Separation” between the church and state, the evangelical and other churches would have nothing to say about the running of the educational system.

These parties revised the scientific method of Isaac Newton as shown in Figure 1 to reduce the role of logic in the falsification of scientific theories as shown in Figure 2. No longer could scientific theories be falsified because they employed false axioms, postulates or assumptions. The new scientific method was called the Existential Scientific Method.




Figure 1 Axiomatic and Empirical Scientific Method of Isaac Newton




Figure 2 Existential and Post-Modern Scientific Method

This Existential Scientific Method was later revised around 1960 to the Post-Modern Scientific Method in which science was divided up into independent silos for physics, chemistry, biology, geology, astronomy, etc. This change caused the university to be changed into a multiversity with separate departments for each silo. No longer was the goal of the university to discover universal truth applicable to all disciplines. Each discipline now had its own separate truth and its own professional journals.

Review of the Major Regressive Theories of Modern Science. Perhaps the most important regressive theory of modern science was the theory of electrodynamics. Initially early investigators in electrodynamics, such as Hans Christian Oersted and Michael Faraday, promoted the idea of a ‘global spirit’ from the influence of the 2nd Great Awakening. They had the notion that all forces are mere manifestations of a unified spiritual field originating in God, the creator and sustainer of the universe.[7] Faraday tried to bring gravitation into the unified picture during the mid 1800’s, but was not fully successful in finding the proper approach eventually documented by Lucas.[2] Then Winston Bostick[8] discovered that uncuttable soliton structures could be created in the electric field or spirit. According to the ancient Greek historians, Moses called these solitons monads and anti-monads. He claimed that all forms of matter are made from various geometrical structures of monads. Today that means that all elementary particles, atoms, nuclei, molecules, etc are made of solitons in the spirit or field emanating from God. Solitons are not made of charge but fields, just like tornadoes are not made of a funnel but of rotating clouds and air masses. (See Figure 3) Mathematically solitons are approximated using the notion of charge in order to be able to write simple equations.

Other investigators in electrodynamics who were in resistance to the 2nd Great Awakening took an alternative approach and instead of solving all six of Maxwell’s equations simultaneously as Maxwell attempted using quaternions, they followed Oliver Heaviside and took a vector approach. They used only 4 of the 6 empirical equations of electrodynamics and solved them in terms of the nonphysical vector potential A while ignoring some of the terms in the equations. This led to an incomplete theory of electrodynamics with only radial r and velocity v

terms. It could not explain radiation emission and absorption depending on acceleration a or radiation reaction or recoil depending on da/dt . Also it could not explain relativistic type velocity effects. As a result the theory of quantum mechanics was invented to explain radiation absorption and emission. To explain relativistic effects the theory of special relativity was invented. Later the discovery of magnetic monopoles logically invalidated the vector potential approach to electrodynamics, but was ignored by the scientific community.



Figure 3 Tornado Funnel Consisting of Circular Rotating Clouds and Air Masses

Common Sense Science scientists have followed a logically proper way to develop electrodynamics by simultaneously solving the complete set of the six empirical equations of electrodynamics using all the terms in all the empirical equations[2] and the energy potential V instead of the vector potential A . The result is that the electric field, the magnetic field, and the electromagnetic force are all a function of relative distance r , relative velocity v , relative acceleration a , and relative radiation reaction da/dt .

All the so-called special relativistic effects are present in the general solution, but no special relativity theory with its false assumptions was necessary. This more proper solution to the complete set of the equations of electrodynamics is able to describe electromagnetic radiation emission and absorption without inventing quantum mechanics. Figure 4 shows how a continuous toroidal ring can absorb and emit radiation from standing waves in the ring analogous to ring antennas.



Figure 4 Toroidal Solitons of Primary Radius R Can Support Multiple Standing Wave Resonant States with Wavelength $\lambda = 2\pi R/n$ or $2\pi nR$

Furthermore, when the radiation reaction terms were evaluated, there were three terms of which two terms were subject to boundary conditions. The one term not subject to boundary conditions was in complete agreement with the experimental results for radiation reaction.[2] In order for the two boundary condition terms to evaluate to zero the fundamental building block of all charged matter had to be in the shape of a continuous toroidal ring previously known as the uncuttable monad of Moses, the 'proto' philosopher, as reported by the ancient Greek historians of natural philosophy and Indian Jain philosophers. The monad becomes the building block for all elementary particles. All the forces within and between elementary particles are electrodynamic only. When NIST recently measured the mass of 3,500 nuclear isotopes to 8 significant figures, the results showed that there was no longer any evidence for the strong nuclear force and the weak nuclear force in atomic nuclei if conservation of energy is valid.[2]

Quantum mechanics, which was invented in order to explain electrodynamic emission and absorption of radiation, was used to explain the emission and absorption of radiation from atoms which consisted of point particle electrons orbiting an atomic nucleus. First the Bohr classical model, then the Schrodinger quantum model, and finally the Dirac relativistic quantum model was developed. These models had logical problems in that they had electrons orbiting the nucleus without obeying the laws of electrodynamics which required the electrons to emit radiation continuously as they orbit as confirmed in accelerator experiments. Furthermore quantum mechanics could not explain how the electron could change orbits instantaneously. Also it was difficult to understand how atoms could be bound together to form stable molecules if the outer electrons shared from one atom to other atoms are continually orbiting all the atoms.

Despite these logical difficulties these models seemed to predict the observed emission and absorption spectra of atoms in an acceptable fashion until NASA, using a rocket on the dark side of the Earth to measure

the spectrum of light from the darkest portions of the universe, obtained a large number of emission spectral lines in the extreme ultraviolet portion of the spectrum.[9] NASA assumed that since hydrogen and helium compose 98% of the atoms in the universe these spectral lines were most likely from hydrogen and helium atoms between stars and galaxies. However, none of the quantum atomic models above could predict any of these lines.

A new model of the atom where the electron consists of a continuous toroidal ring of charge and does not orbit the nucleus but remains grouped in finite spherical shells around the nucleus was able to predict every single observed emission spectral line in the extreme ultraviolet spectrum as coming from hydrogen and helium as well as all the emission spectral lines in other ranges predicted by the quantum theories above. Also transitions of the standing waves in the continuous toroidal rings do not require changing orbits and occur instantaneously. Thus the quantum models of the atom were falsified.

General Relativity Theory, which is the more complete or general version of **Special Relativity Theory**, was invented to supplement the incomplete theory of electrodynamics and has been accepted as the proper explanation of the force of gravity replacing Newton's **Universal Law of Gravitation**. However measurements of the velocity of the outer spiral arms of spiral galaxies is much larger than predicted by General Relativity Theory. In order to avoid falsifying General Relativity Theory scientists have invented dark matter and dark energy to explain the difference. Also measurements by Pioneer 10 and 11 of the force of gravity in the outer portion of the Earth's solar system do not agree with General Relativity Theory's predictions. Thus additional dark matter and dark energy is needed to explain our solar system. It does not seem possible to place dark matter and dark energy around each star and the Milky Way spiral galaxy as a whole in a self-consistent manner in order to predict the observed results. Also accelerator experiments and reactor fission and fusion experiments have not been able to produce any dark matter. Thus whether dark matter or dark energy exists is still not verified, and this type explanation of our solar systems and spiral galaxies requires that the universe be 96% dark matter and energy with the remaining 4% being regular matter and energy.

The electrodynamic model of the atom consisting of toroidal electrons grouped in spherical shells about the nucleus instead of orbiting the nucleus gives an electrodynamic explanation of the force of gravity as the force between vibrating neutral electric multipoles, such as dipoles, quadrupoles, and octapoles.[2] This force of gravity has two terms. The first term corresponds to Newton's Universal Force of Gravitation and Einstein's General Relativity Theory. The second term allows the electrodynamic force of gravity to perfectly explain the observed velocity of the outer arms of spiral galaxies and the Pioneer 10 and 11 measurements of the force of gravity near the outskirts of our solar system. No dark matter or dark energy is needed. This approach defines mass as a function of electrodynamic vibrations within elementary particles and atoms giving a physical explanation of $E=mc^2$.

The Standard Models of Elementary Particles are based upon quantum mechanics and the strong and weak interaction forces holding quarks and other particles together to form hundreds of elementary particles. There are many versions of these models and so far the scientific method is unable to falsify them. According to Lee Smolin in his book **The Trouble with Physics**[10], the current quantum theories of elementary particles have 19 – 29 adjustable constants representing still unknown physics. Even with all of those adjustable constants simple things like the mass of the electron is difficult to predict to the accuracy it can be measured.

The more complete version of electrostatics can predict the geometrical arrangements of monads to form the complete set of elementary particles. This electrodynamic force has both spherical and chiral symmetry. These symmetries determine the allowed geometrical structures that can be made from monads. These allowed structures have been identified with the experimentally observed elementary particles requiring no adjustable parameters.[11] The properties of each particle are predicted, i.e. charge, spin, mass, reactions, decay modes, etc. Many elementary particles are a function of the theory of elementary particles being used to explain them. They are not directly observable by experiment. The electrodynamic approach to elementary particles is able to predict all the properties of the 96 elementary

particles that are directly observable by accelerator and nuclear reactor experiments.

The Shell Model of the Nucleus is based upon quantum mechanics and the existence of the strong and weak forces in the nucleus. This model has to be supplemented by the **Liquid Drop Model of the Nucleus** in order to explain the fission products of various nuclear decays. The quantum shell model of the nucleus fails to predict the spin of 1300 nuclear isotopes.[2] Also it fails to predict all the observable islands of nuclear stability. Finally it requires the existence of a strong interaction force holding the neutrons and protons together in the nucleus and a weak interaction force controlling the decay of the nucleus. When NIST measured the mass of 3,500 nuclear isotopes to 8 significant figures, the data revealed that there was only a $1/R^2$ force in the nucleus. Since the weak interaction force is a $1/R^3$ and the strong interaction force is a $1/R^5$ force, neither of them exists inside the nucleus. Furthermore there are no neutrons inside the nucleus if conservation of energy is valid, i.e. only protons and electrons inside the nucleus.

The electrodynamic model of the nucleus consisting of protons and electrons in the nucleus is able to correctly predict the spin of all the 3,500 nuclear isotopes.[12] It properly predicts the measured $1/R^2$ force observed in all 3,500 isotopes of the nucleus. The electrodynamic model of the nucleus predicts the various fission products of nuclear decay that the liquid drop model of the nucleus was created to do in order to supplement the quantum shell model of the nucleus. Finally the electrodynamic model of the nucleus is able to predict all the islands of nuclear stability already discovered and to predict some that were only recently discovered. All of this was done having only protons and electrons bound in the nucleus by the electromagnetic force.

Evolution, the Abiogenesis of Life, is based on the 1953 Stanley L. Miller and Harold C. Urey[13] experiments in which they tried to reproduce the imagined conditions of the early primitive atmosphere and oceans of the earth in a laboratory. They placed a gaseous atmosphere of methane, ammonia, water, and hydrogen above a watery "sea" in a flask and warmed it to 80° C and subjected it to electrical sparks that simulated lightning. When they opened the flask and analyzed its contents, they found slight traces of

organic compounds. Figuring that the electrical spark was destroying the newly formed organic type molecules, they introduced a trap to protect the newly formed organic type molecules. Then after running the electrical discharge for a week, they found upon opening the flask that there was a collection of newly formed organic type compounds including α -amino acids, glycine, alanine, aspartic acid, and glutamic acid. These are all constituents of proteins. They also found several organic acids that occur in living organisms including formic, acetic, propanoic, lactic and succinic acids. They also noted that without the electrodynamic spark no organic molecules were created.

Critics of the theory of abiogenesis were quick to point out that the trap was not realistic. All the created organic compounds would normally be destroyed by the same process that created them without the protection of a trap. The primitive earth would not have had such a trap.

A second problem with the experiment to prove abiogenesis is that real organic molecules exhibit chirality, i.e. left or right-handed symmetry.[14] Chiral molecules play an important role in the chemistry of life, since the observed molecules involved in living organisms are chiral. As with hands, chiral molecules can occur in two different forms, called enantiomers, which are mirror images of one another. Chiral molecules that occur in living organisms almost always occur only in the left-handed or the right-handed enantiomers, rather than in both forms. All the organic molecules created in the Miller and Urey experiment were created with equal amounts of both left and right-handed enantiomers which would cause living entities to die.

Another problem with the Miller and Urey experiment is that it cannot make organic molecules with sufficient density to create large organic polymers. Also the random procedure of the experiment can not encode detailed specific information into the polymers needed for self replication.

According to the more complete version of electrodynamics the decay of life energy can be measured in terms of the longitudinal vibrations of atoms in organic molecules.[15] The development of the Experimental Life Energy Meter has allowed

scientists to measure the life energy in the organic molecules produced in the Miller Urey experiment by measuring the emitted longitudinal radiation. Measurements show that there is no life energy in any of the organic molecules produced. Thus no life can be produced by chemical processes and thermodynamics alone. The biogenesis approach that life can only come from life appears to be confirmed by the improved version of electrodynamics.




Figure 5 Miller & Urey Apparatus to Generate Organic Compounds[13]

The Age of the Earth is 4.5 Billion Years according to the radioactive decay of long-lived nuclear isotopes. This old age of the earth is determined from the decays of $^{238}\text{U} \rightarrow ^{206}\text{Pb}$, $^{87}\text{Rb} \rightarrow ^{87}\text{Sr}$ and $^{40}\text{K} \rightarrow ^{40}\text{Ar}$ assuming that no other isotopes contribute to produce the final isotope on the right. The assumption is made that the shorter lived isotopes that also decay to the isotope on the right decayed long before the crust of the earth solidified to form the mineral containing the final isotope.

An examination of the minerals containing the final isotope under a microscope reveals radiohalo images in the mineral documenting the decay to the final isotope.[16] These radiohalo images identify the particular radioactive nucleus that decayed to the final

isotope. Evidence is found that all the known isotopes that decay to the final isotope are present in the mineral indicating a catastrophic formation of the Earth that cannot be ignored. Furthermore the shape of the radiohalo is found experimentally to be spherical if the decay is from primordial isotopes and elliptical if the decay is from a secondary isotope. Top down illumination of the radiohalos using a microscope reveals that the radiohalo centers for secondary decays are created around long skinny whisker crystals formed after the decay of a heavier isotope at a different site than the original. The impact of the discovery of the microscopic radiohalos in radioactive minerals is that the age of the Earth drops down to be compatible with 5,000 – 10,000 years. This result is compatible with the amount of ^3He , ^4He and ^{14}C in the atmosphere and ^{238}U salt in the oceans. Thus the assumptions used to measure an age of 4.5 billion years for the Earth have been falsified and the results have also shown to be inconsistent with other radioactive decay methods.[16]

The Big Bang Origin of the Universe depends on an old age of billions of years for the Earth. The age of the universe needs to be approximately 14 billion years not 5,000 to 10,000 years. Also the Big Bang theory uses Quantum Mechanics, Einstein's General Theory of Relativity and the Standard Model of Elementary Particles all of which have been falsified and replaced by the improved version of electrodynamics.[17]

The Plate Tectonic Theory of a Non-Expanding Earth requires that the tectonic plates containing the various continents move back and forth over time. However an analysis of the official U. S. Navy sonar ship logs by Marie Tharp reveals consistent stretch marks in all the oceans of the world showing a three-dimensional expansion of the earth with increasing distance between tectonic plates over time.[18] Lines drawn perpendicular to the stretch marks connect points on one continent to points on another continent. The accuracy of these stretch marks is verified by identical geological structures and minerals on the ends of each line drawn perpendicular to the stretch marks. Also the stretch marks document a 70% expansion of the earth since it was formed about 5,000 years ago. GPS data also confirms that the tectonic plates are moving farther apart on the surface of an expanding Earth. The force of gravity derived from the improved version of electrodynamics documents that the force of gravity holding the earth together is decaying allowing

the Earth to expand. Also this gravitational decay produces the cosmic microwave background radiation observed throughout the universe.

Circumstantial Evidence. The purpose of this section is to point out that the regressive theories of modern science, which are known to be false by logic but acceptable under the Existential Scientific Method which does not use logic properly, have a common characteristic, i.e. they deny some claim of the Bible.

1. **Regressive Theory of Electrodynamics** is incomplete and claims that charge is the source of all electromagnetic fields not the Spirit of God the creator,
2. **Regressive Theory of Gravitation** is incorrect and claims that gravity is a separate force that is not a part of the universal electrodynamic force of God.
3. **Regressive Theory of Quantum Mechanics** is incorrect and claims there is no law of cause and effect and that everything depends on statistical processes involving the universal wave function. Thus there can be no sin and consequences and no role for God.
4. **Regressive Abiogenesis Evolution of Life** is incorrect and claims that life came about naturally by electrodynamic, chemical and thermodynamic processes and not God's creation.
5. **Regressive Old Age of the Earth** is incorrect and attempts to dispute the Biblical claim that the creation occurred about 5,000 years ago.
6. **Regressive Plate Tectonic Theory of Non-Expanding Earth** is incorrect and attempts to dispute the Biblical claim that the Earth expanded releasing the flood waters under the continents for Noah's world-wide flood.

Conclusions. Each of the regressive theories of modern science covered here are falsified by logic but the scientists of the time appear to have deliberately adopted the false Existential Scientific Method in order to allow these scientific theories that attempt to refute the Bible. The circumstantial evidence is very strong and consistent. Is this the 'Something deeply hidden that had to be behind things' that Einstein talked about?

References.

1. John Bartlett, **Bartlett's Familiar Quotations 14th Edition**, p 951 (1968).
2. Lucas Jr., Charles W., **The Universal Force volume 1 – Derived From A More Perfect Union of the Axiomatic and Empirical Scientific Methods** (Create Space, 2013).
3. http://www.thearda.com/Timeline/events/event_324.asp
4. http://www.thearda.com/Timeline/events/event_286.asp
5. http://www.thearda.com/Timeline/events/event_326.asp
6. Lucas, Jr., Charles W., “The Regression of Modern Science Part 1 – Due to Adoption of Modern Naturalism” **Foundations of Science Vol. 20, No. 3**, pp 1-8 (2017).
7. Alexander Altland, **Classical Electrodynamics**, p. 6. <http://www.thp.uni-koeln.de/alexal/pdf/electrodynamics.pdf>
8. Bostick, Winston H., “Mass, Charge, and Current: The Essence and Morphology,” **Physics Essays, Vol. 4, No. 1**, pp. 45-59 (1991).
9. Labov, Simon E. and Stuart Bowyer, “Spectral Observations of the Extreme Ultraviolet of Background,” **The Astrophysical Journal, Vol. 371**, p. 810 (1990).
10. Lee Smolin, **The Trouble with Physics** (Houghton Mifflin Company, New York, 2006).
11. Lucas Jr., Charles W. and David L. Bergman, “A Classical Electromagnetic Theory of Elementary Particles Part 1 and 2” **Foundations of Science, Vol. 7, No. 4**, pp. 1-8 (2004), **Vol. 8, No. 2**, pp. 1-16 (2005).
12. C. W. Lucas, Jr., R. A. Rydin, “Electrodynamic Model of the Nucleus”, **Nuclear Science and Engineering Vol. 161**, pp. 255-256 (2009).
13. http://www.abenteuer-universum.de/pdf/miller_1953.pdf
14. Charles W. Lucas, Jr., “The Regression of Modern Science Part 3 Evolution The Abiogenesis Origin of Life”, **Foundations of Science vol 21 No 1**, 2018.
15. Lucas, Jr., Charles W., “What is the Nature and Cause of Life?” **Foundations of Science Vol. 18, No. 2**, pp. 1-7 (2015).
16. Lucas Jr., Charles W., “Radiohalos - Key Evidence for Origin/Age of the Earth” **Proceedings of the Cosmology Conference 2003** held by the Creation Research and Science Education Foundation Columbus, OH, October 31 and November 1, 2003.
17. Lucas, Jr., Charles W., “The Regression of Modern Science Part 2 Big Bang Origin of the Universe” **Foundations of Science Vol. 20, No. 4**, pp 1-8 (2017).
18. US Office of Naval Research World Ocean Floor Map <http://www.columbia.edu/cu/news/06/08/tharp.html>